# **X-ray optics requirements for IAXO**

"IAXO: The Search for Axions in the Universe" INFN – Laboratori Nazionali Frascati Frascati, Italy 18–19 April 2016

> Michael Pivovaroff Associate Division Leader, Physics



#### LLNL-PRES-689387

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC



# **IAXO x-ray optics**







# The sensitivity of a helioscope





# Leveraging x-ray astronomy for IAXO

- Last decades has seen significant advances in the fabrication of reflective x-ray optics
  - New substrates, new coatings, new assembly techniques
- Several candidate approaches for IAXO
  - Segmented glass (e.g., NuSTAR & CAST)
  - Replicated (e.g., *Beppo-SAX, XMM, FOXSI, ART* & *eROSITA*)
  - Silicon pore (ATHENA)
- IAXO does not require fundamentally new developments, but it does have different specifications than a typical x-ray astrophysics mission
  - Near-term development activities are needed to reduce technical risks
- We have selected segmented glass as the baseline technique for IAXO because of cost, collaboration's expertise and physical constraints of experiment



# The U.S. leads the x-ray optics development for IAXO because of several past projects, including *NuSTAR*

#### NuSTAR: NASA SMEX satellite launched in 2012

 X-ray telescope team led by Columbia U. (Hailey) and included LLNL and DTU-Space
 Team pioneered low-cost approach for making modest-resolution, multilayer-coated x-ray telescopes
 Residual infrastructure from NuSTAR has already been used to make new x-ray telescopes for CAST
 LLNL has extensive x-ray optics capabilities and expertise

#### **Current interests of US institutions for IAXO x-ray optics**

- LLNL: Modeling and simulation; multilayer design; engineering
- Columbia: low-energy performance;
- Haverford/MIT: new slumping techniques; metrology



# **Telescope design issues**

- Astrophysics community has spent 50 years developing deep experience and design principles for X-ray optics
- These do not map directly to the needs for axion helioscopes like IAXO

### **Astronomy**

- Unknown source spectrum
- Unknown source size
- Desire wide FOV (up to 60')
- Higher angular resolution always better
- Mass-constrained

### Solar axions

- Known source spectrum
- Known source size
- FOV (3+1)'
- Modest angular resolution of a few arcmin is acceptable
- Not mass-constrained
- Mirror length, spacing between nested layers and focal lengths need to be revisited; challenge standard "rules"



# **Telescope design issues [2]**

- Figure of merit for optics: maximize number of photons, minimize background
  - Maximize photons = product of axion spectrum, telescope effective area, detector quantum efficiency
    - Cannot optimize without assuming a detector technology
  - Minimize spot size =
    - Conventional wisdom is use nominal *f* and keep mirrors short, but is this optimal?
- We have performed and published preliminary studies
  - We need to systematically explore all possibilities

Jakobsen et al. *Proc SPIE* **8861**:886113 (2013) Armengaud et al. *JINST* **9** T05002 (2014)





# **Telescope design issues [3]**



- In most cases, telescopes have been designed to minimize spot size for point-like sources located in the central region of the FOV
- For IAXO, we are imaging an known 3 arcmin source centered directly in the FOV
  - Much different optimization strategy for solar axion detection
  - Also depends on fabrication technique



# **Telescope design issues [4]**

(1) Mosttelescopes have a"gap" betweenneighboring layers

(2) For CAST optic, we left no space, to minimize dead space
(gain in nearly on-axis area > loss in a few 1.5' off-axis rays)

(3) For IAXO, wecould increase lengthand intentionallyoverlap shells tominimize spot size



# **Summary of high-level requirements**

- Telescopes required:
- Expected focal length:
- Energy range, desired:
- Energy range, minimum:
- Coatings:
- Radius:
- Graze angles:
- Shells:
- Angular resolution, desired:
- Angular resolution, minimum:
- Cost, baseline:

8 + 1 ("flight" optics + spare) 5.0±0.5 m 0.1–10 keV (will depend on detectors) 0.5–8 keV (will depend on detectors) multilayers (e.g.,  $W/B_4C$ ) 50-300 mm 2.6–15 mrad or 0.15–0.86 deg ~120 (will depend on mirror length) 1.0 arcmin (no gain in FOV, if smaller) 2.5 arcmin (sensitivity loss, if larger) ≤ 10M€ for entire program (fabrication, calibration & installation)





# **Specific issues**

- Highest priorities (independent of fabrication technique)
  - Telescope design (Modeling and Simulation [M&S])
  - Multilayer development (M&S; R&D)
  - Substrate development (R&D; prototyping; M&S)

- Other important areas (depends on fabrication technique)
  - Metrology
  - Definition of response files for end-to-end simulations
  - Calibration plan and facilities
  - Techniques to alignment and bore-sight x-ray telescopes to IAXO pointing structure and star-trackers



# **NuSTAR-like optics for IAXO**

- Approximately same number of shells, but much larger radii (18 cm →30 cm)
- Would require using 20 deg azimuthal segments ("18ths")
- Easy to deposit multilayer coatings—but need to verify performance E < 1 keV is compatible with fabrication methods and operations
- Would need to either create new assembly machines or extend range of two tools fabricated for NuSTAR



Recent efforts have concentrated on reducing risks by building IAXO-like optics for CAST



# Near-term x-ray optics needs (i.e., for IAXO TDR XO) for segmented-glass approach

- Calibrate new CAST x-ray telescopes at PANTER facility (Germany)
  - Required for analysis of CAST science data from 2015; will result in record-best experimental sensitivity to  $g_{\alpha\gamma\gamma}$  over the widest axion mass range
  - Provides a way to baseline performance of segmented glass telescopes for IAXO
- Explore new slumping techniques (for radii >180 mm) for segmented glass





#### Aznar et al. JCAP 12 008 (2015)



# Low-energy telescope response

- How well will multilayer-coated, segmented glass telescopes work below 3 keV?
  - Benefit: maximize sensitivity for axion-electron coupling
- NuSTAR is sensitive from 3–80 keV, with no obvious loss of performance, but lacks high-quality end-to-end ground calibration at low energies
- Magnetron sputtered multilayers made for soft x-ray (0.8 keV) diagnostics for NIF work well
  - These x-ray mirrors used epoxy and were exposed to air
  - Carefully calibrated at LBNL/ALS soft X-ray synchrotron; excellent agreement between modeled response and actual measurements
- Work for IAXO must include
  - Serial studies to look for time-dependent degradation at E < 2 keV</li>
  - Evaluate composition and thickness of hydrocarbon layers
  - Any evidence of scatter induced by graphite particulate

